### ODIHAM PARISH COUNCIL - PLANNING MEETING 29 OCTOBER 2018

### PLANNING DECISIONS

Case:

15/02491/CON

Address:

The Oaks, Broad Oak, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1AG

Description:

Approval of condition 2- materials- and 4- joinery details- pursuant to

15/02491/HOU The proposals consist of the following:

- Two storey side extension, (approved under application 15/00778/HOU)

- Single storey flat roofed rear extension, (Permitted development

approved under application 15/02023/PRIOR) - Demolition of the existing garage building,

- Internal alterations.

Decision:

Condition discharged

**OPC Comment:** 

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officer's attention to the planning policies

in the Odiham and North Warnborough Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application.

Case:

18/02017/HOU

Address:

15 Salmons Road, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1NY

Description:

Second storey rear storey extension.

Decision:

Grant

**OPC Comment:** 

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officer's attention to the planning policies

in the Odiham and North Warnborough Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application.

Case:

18/01726/LBC

Address: Description: The White House, 36 High Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1LG

Replacement of the existing coping stones on the front garden boundary

wall with like-for-like limestone coping stones.

Decision:

Grant

**OPC Comment:** 

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officer's attention to the planning policies

in the Odiham and North Warnborough Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application and would refer the application to the Conservation Officers attention.

Case:

18/00266/HOU and 18/00267/LBC

Address: Description: The Priory, 184 High Street, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1NP

Various internal alterations, insertion of a new window, conversion of an external door to a window, and blocking up of existing external door.

Decision:

Grant

**OPC Comment:** 

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application and leaves it to the Conservation Officer to ensure that all local and national

policies are applied.

Case:

18/01949/LBC

Address:

Danetree House, 57 High Street, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1LF

Description:

Proposed removal of an existing fireplace

Decision:

Grant

**OPC Comment:** 

Odiham Parish Council OPC wishes to draw the Case Officer's attention

to the planning policies in the Odiham and North Warnborough

Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council note the comments from the Conservation Officer and their concerns about the existing proposal and will leave it to their judgement to decide on

this issue.

Case:

18/01833/LBC

Address:

Suite 1, Fountains Mall, High Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29

Description:

Installation of a floating floor on resilient battens

Decision:

Refuse

**OPC Comment:** 

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officers attention to the planning policies in

the Odiham and North Warnborough Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application and leaves the matter to the Conservation Officer.

Case:

18/01820/LBC

Address: Description:

Roke Farm, Hillside, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1HZ

Extension and alterations of fenestration to facilitate the change of use of

a grade II listed agricultural barn into a holiday cottage

Decision:

**OPC Comment:** 

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application. The parish council defers to the Case Officer on this application and refers to Odiham parish council's comments on the previous application

for this site 18/01497/FUL

Case:

18/01588/LBC

Address:

Fountains Mall, Suite 1, High Street, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1LP

Description:

Installation of sprung floor

Decision:

Refuse

**OPC** Comment:

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officers attention to the planning policies

in the Odiham and North Warnborough Neighbourhood Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to this planning application and leaves the matter to the Conservation Officer.

Case:

18/01497/FUL

Address:

Roke Farm, Hillside, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1HZ

Description: Decision:

**OPC Comment:** 

Change of use of an existing agricultural barn in to a holiday cottage.

Refuse

OPC wishes to draw the Case Officer's attention to the planning policies in the Odiham and North Warnborough NH Plan.

Odiham parish council raises no objection to the change of use. However, OPC would urge the Case Officer to explore methods to ensure that the building remains ancillary to and does not become

independent to the main property thereby establishing a new residence outside of the settlement boundary.

### PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Case:

18/02172/FUL

Address: Description:

Albion Yard, Hook Road, North Warnborough, Hook. RG29 1EU

Erection of seven dwellings with associated site works.

Comments to be submitted by: 31 October 2018

Decision:

**Mark's Comments** James's Comments

Jon Hale's Comments Craig's Comments **David's Comments** Jeremy's Comments

Case:

18/02033/FUL

Address:

56 High Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1HW

Description:

Replacement of timber communal entrance door with a glazed GRP door

Comments to be submitted by: 9 November 2018

Decision:

Mark's Comments **James's Comments** Jon Hale's Comments **Craig's Comments David's Comments** Jeremy's Comments

Case:

18/02189/FUL

Address:

Palace Gate House, 168 High Street, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1NP

Description:

Installation of 1 no. roof mounted aerial.

Comments to be submitted by: 14 November 2018

Mark's Comments James's Comments Jon Hale's Comments **Craig's Comments David's Comments** Jeremy's Comments

### PRE- APP ADVICE APPLICATIONS

Case:

18/02153/PREAPP

Address:

Laurel Cottage, The Street, North Warnborough, Hook, Hampshire. RG29

1BG

Description:

Join this existing outbuilding and garage together and turn this into an

annex with no change to the size, dimensions and height.

Status:

Opinion issued

Case:

18/01886/PREAPP

Address: Description: Old Bank House, 59 High Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1LF

Change of use from B1 to C3- office to residential.

Status:

Opinion issued

### TREE APPLICATIONS

Case:

18/02223/CA

Address:

Land At Seymour Place, Odiham, Hook. RG29 1AY

Description:

Tree works are to be carried out as detailed in the attached tree condition

survey report: 'J254 09 TCS Seymour Place 20180630.pdf'

Status:

Registered

**OPC Comment:** 

### TREE DECISIONS

Case:

18/02284/CA

Address:

Cemetery, King Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire.

Description:

Prunus adjacent to drive - Reduce and reshape by 1.5m to leave canopy

with radial spread of 4m.

Laburnum adjacent to house - Reduce and reshape by up to 1.5m to

leave canopy with radial spread of 2-2.5m.

Decision:

No objection

**OPC Comment:** 

Odiham parish council did not comment on this tree application.

Case:

18/02128/CA

Address: Description:

Playford Mill Corner, North Warnborough, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1HE Bramley apple tree in southern end of plot, opposite 1 Randa cottages.

Tree is diseased so plan to cut back to good wood at base. Adjacent apple tree planted 10 years ago will be allowed to develop into free space. Tree cannot be salvaged then replacement tree (bramley apple)

planned.

Decision:

No objection

OPC Comment:

Odiham parish council did not comment on this tree application.

### **ENFORCEMENTS OPENED**

Case:

18/00249/OPERT

Address: Complaint: Five Acres, Broad Oak, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1AG

Groundworks and use of land for siting of caravans.

Case:

18/00251/HOME3

Address:

Christmas Cottage, 52 High Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29

1LN

Complaint:

Mixed use of the premises for residential and car sales.

Case:

18/00252/COND3

Address:

Dervaig, West Street, Odiham, Hook, Hampshire. RG29 1NR

Complaint:

Alleged breach of conditions of 17/00746/HOU.

#### **ENFORCEMENTS CLOSED**

None.

# Tree condition survey

at

Seymour Place, Odiham

for

**Cognatum Estates Limited** 

### sapling arboriculture Itd

Ben Abbatt Dip. Arb. (RFS), BA (Hons), MICFor, MRICS, CEnv Arboricultural Association Registered Consultant



Holbache Mount Pleasant Road, Alton, Hants,

t: 01420 550 160 e: enquiries@saplingarboriculture.com w: www.saplingarboriculture.com

Reference: J254.29







## Contents

Instruction

Tree condition survey

General notes

Key

Site plan

### Instruction

The tree survey is to be carried out in relation to the landowner's duty under the Occupier's Liability Act 1984 and common law. The tree survey will be carried out from ground level, identifying significant tree features that may have significant bearing upon the condition and management of the tree and giving appropriate recommendations and priorities.

Typical significant defects that are to be identified can be referred to in "Hazards from Trees, a general guide" by David Lonsdale and "The body language of trees" by Claus Mattheck published by the Forestry Commission and the Department of the Environment respectively.

Site Tree Survey Daffag number

Date

Job reference Surveyor

Seymour Place, Odiham 25th June 2018 J254.29 Ben Abbatt

	1
ry	
e	
es	οŢ
	be
	be complete l
	by :
	30th
1)	by 30th June 2021
S	21
_ 1	

	T	7										
	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	1	Designation	Resurvey
11	10	9	0	7	σ	n	4 1	. ω	2	Ц	Reference number	
m	0	G								Þ	reference	
Rowan	Pear	Field Maple	Field Maple	Hornbeam	Hawthorn	Ash	Hornbeam	Box Elder	Box Elder	Cockspur Thorn	Species	To be complete by 30th June 2021
υ	10	10	10	10	4	14	10	10	10	6	Height (m)	h June 20
Young	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Mature	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Age class	)21
Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair air	Good	Good	Good	Good	Physiological condition	
Fair	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Structural condition	
Typical of species.	Base inaccessible.	Low branches over the access road. Base inaccessible.	Low branches over the footway. Base inaccessible.	Opposite number 9. Low branches over the access road. Damage at base of the tree.	forest reasearch Chalara	Off site to the east opposite number 6. Slightly sparse canopy commensurate with Chalara (Hymenoscyphus fraxineus) https://forestry.gov.uk/chalara. Previously topped at 6m with mature regrowth.	East side of footway. Base inaccessible.	South side of access road. Low branches over the access and footway. Base inaccessible.	North side of access road. Low branches over the access and footway. Base inaccessible.	Low branches over the access road	Condition notes	
No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Crown lift to 4m over access road.	Crown lift to 3m. Maximum wound diameter of 50mm.	Crown lift to 4m over the access road. Reinstate mulch at base of tree to reduce future mower and strimmer damage.	Reinstate mulch at base of tree to reduce future mower and strimmer damage.	Request owner of the tree reviews the condition of their tree and seeks appropriate arboricultural advice.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Crown lift to 4m over access road and 3m over the footway.	Crown lift to 4m over access road and 3m over the footway.	Crown lift to 4m over access road.	Condition related tree works	
		Low	Low	Low	Low	Low		Low	Low	Low	Priority	

		T	T	Т	1	Т	Т	Т	1	Т .	T	<del></del>
-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 Designation
23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	1	Reference number
2				∞		9	3	N2	N1	T	G	Prior survery reference
Snakebark Maple	Walnut	Cherry	Cherry	Apple	Turkish Hazel	Apple	Fruit (no flowers or frui	Cherry	Cherry	Tulip tree	Birch	Species
4	15	ω	ω	5	Ŋ	5	7	4	4	11	4	Height (m)
Middle	Mature	Young	Young	Young	Young	Young	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Middle aged	Young	Age class
Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Good	Good	Physiological condition
Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Structural condition
Slight sparse canopy and leaf curling potentially due to recent dry weather.	ymetrical canopy due to development with adjacent trees loved). Occasional minor overlong branches throughout outside the main canopy spread. Previously tip reduced, ly evident in the lower northern canopy. Swept lower stem base straightening at 4m also due to development in with adjacent removed trees.	of number 25. Suppressed by adjacent trees and hedge to	pressed by adjacent trees and hedge to	Sucker growth at the base.	To front of number 1 Parsons Row.		Poor growing position adjacent to boundary wall and garage.	Previously topped at 3m with foliage curling (probably due to recent No works required at the time of the survey. dry weather).	Previously topped at 3m with regrowth dying back.	Previously topped at 3.5m and 4.5m with mature regrowth.		Condition notes
No works required at the time of the survey.	Selective tip reduction of c15 overlong branches, particularly to the north to rebalance the tree canopy and reduce the potential for branch failure. Final radial branch spread to be 7.5m. Prunuing to be to natural target pruning points and a maximum wound diameter of 75mm. Works to be supervised by an Arboricultural Consultant to ensure compliance with works specification due to high importance of the tree and the specification provided.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Remove basal sucker growth.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Remove and treat stump to prevent regrowth.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Condition related tree works
	Low		row.	Low			Low					Priority

Designation	-	Т	T	1	Т	1	Т	Н	7	-1	T	T	-1	7
Reference number	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
Prior survery reference					1	6								I
Species	Amlanchier	Amlanchier	Amlanchier	Amlanchier	Fastigiate Beech	Ash	Fastigiate Beech	Cockspur Thorn	Hawthorn	Laburnum	Cockspur Thorn	Sycamore	Norway Maple	Purple plum
Height (m)	ω	ω ω	ω	ω	7	17	7	Оī	6	ъ	5	17	17	6
Age class	Young	Young	Young	Young	Middle aged	Mature	Young	Young	Middle aged	Middle aged	Young	Mature	Mature	Middle aged
Physiological condition		Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Fair	Good
Structural condition	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Good
Condition notes					Poor growing position adjacent to private Norway Maple.	Old hedgerow tree with multiple stems from the base. Single mistletoe in north eastern canopy.			Sparse and yellowing foliage. Rear garden of number 27.	Sparse foliage due to adjacent trees removed. Two stems from 0.5m I with minor included bark union. Rear garden of number 27.		Three stems from 4m. Rear garden of 28.	Slight sparse and yellowing canopy with some distal twig dieback.  Bark cracks on stem to southwest. Mistletoe throughout. Four stems from base with minor included bark union.	7
Condition related tree works	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Reinstate mulch at base of tree to reduce Luture mower and strimmer damage.	Reinstate mulch at base of tree to reduce future mower and strimmer damage.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.
Priority							Low							

	T -	T -	T-	
	-		-	H Designation
41	40	39	u X	Reference number
F	_		-	Prior survery reference
Lime	Cherry	Pear	Cockspur Thorn	Species
10	00	∞	o.	Height (m)
Middle	Middle aged	Mature	Middle aged	Age class
Good	Good	Good	Good	Physiological condition
Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Structural condition
Previously topped at 4m with mature regrowth. Close to adjacent building.	Previously topped at 4m with mature regrowth. Close to adjacent building.		Mistletoe throughout	Condition notes
Clear building by 1m on an annual basis.	Clear building by 1m on an annual basis.	No works required at the time of the survey.	No works required at the time of the survey.	Condition related tree works
Low	Low	- 1		Priority

### General notes:

The tree survey has been carried out in relation to the landowner's duty under the Occupier's Liability Act 1984 and common law. The tree survey was carried out from ground level, identifying significant tree features that may have significant bearing upon the condition and management of the tree and giving appropriate recommendations and priorities. All dimensions are estimated. No soil investigations will be carried out.

To carry out the tree survey reasonable access around the base of the tree is required. Where this is not feasible, these parts of the tree may not able to be inspected. If view of the entire structure of the tree(s) is limited, for instance by the properties in private ownership, this is a limitation to the tree survey and some parts of the tree may not be able to be fully surveyed. The tree survey will be carried out from the ground with the aid of binoculars if necessary. The tree will not be climbed as part of this survey.

Typical significant defects that are to be identified can be referred to in "Hazards from Trees, a general guide" by David Lonsdale and "The body language of trees" by Claus Mattheck published by the Forestry Commission and the Department of the Environment respectively.

The tree survey can only be an assessment of the tree at the time of the survey and the tree(s) should be re-surveyed on a regular basis. An appropriate time period between surveys may be up to 5 years depending upon the condition of the trees, their maturity and the target(s). Recommendations for the period between surveys will be given.

As trees are dynamic structures their condition and health may change in a short period of time, particularly in relation to changes in their immediate environment and circumstances. Therefore, the survey is an assessment of the trees at the time of the survey only. If there is a significant change in the immediate environment and circumstances then this should be brought to the attention of the arboriculturalist so that they may advise accordingly.

I have not checked whether the site is within a Conservation Area or whether the trees are under Tree Preservation Order (TPO). Prior to any tree works confirmation of whether these legal restrictions apply to the site or trees ought to be sought from the Local Planning Authority (LPA). If the trees stand within a Conservation Area designated under the Town and Country Planning Act the LPA will normally require 6 weeks notice of intention to carry out any tree works as detailed in the survey. If the trees are under TPO then the LPA will normally require an application for any tree works. Some tree works are exempt, for instance if the trees are dead or dangerous, and certain works can be carried out without application. It is necessary to give the LPA at least five days notice prior to carrying out any of these tree works under these exemptions. This survey, with recommendations, can be used to support any such application or notice.

Wildlife issues are of significant concern to the general public. A balance has to be found between the protection of wildlife and the need for safety when managing trees. The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1980) and Countryside Rights of Way Act (2000) give statutory protection to wild birds, bats, mammals, some invertebrates and plants. It is important to ensure that this legislation is properly considered when carrying out any works to trees.

Bird nests were not identified whilst on site. However, any Arborist carrying out the tree works should ensure that there is no disturbance to nesting birds prior to the works being carried out. Further guidance upon the appropriate timing of the works can be sought from DEFRA, if necessary. Where nesting birds are found further information should be sought from DEFRA 08459 33 55 77 or <a href="helpline@defra.gsi.gov.uk">helpline@defra.gsi.gov.uk</a>. Prior to any works being implemented the tree contractor must identify whether there are any bats or birds using the tree as roost or nest. If such habitation is identified then the tree contractor must obtain the necessary licence from Natural England (0845 601 4523 www.naturalengland.org.uk) to carry out the works.

In this instance, considering the size of the trees, their location and features I believe that there is a low to medium potential of bats using the mature trees as a roost site. A bat survey prior to tree works is not recommended as it would be difficult to determine the location of any exit point from the roost within the tree by a bat survey and also confusion may also arise from bats travelling from other roosts. Any such exit could more easily identified by a competent tree worker. During the tree works the contractor should carry out the tree works with bats as an active consideration and follow the current industry best practice, e.g. Arboricultural Association Guidance Note 1 Bats in the context of tree work operations 2011, which a competent tree contractor should be familiar with.

Biosecurity measures: To minimise to potential for contamination of the tree from other tree works it is appropriate to sterilise tools to be used before and after the works are implemented. Appropriate disinfectant includes Propellar or Cleankill Sanitizing spray. Loose debris is to be brushed off prior to treating with disinfectant to ensure appropriate application. See <a href="http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FCMS028-guidance.pdf">http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FCMS028-guidance.pdf</a> for further information on Biosecurity and <a href="http://www.forestry.gov.uk/forestry/infd-9fjd2d">http://www.forestry.gov.uk/pdf/FCMS028-guidance.pdf</a> for disinfectant information.

## Key to condition survey sheet

Desig

Designation (T is Tree, G is Group, H is Hedge, W is woodland, S is Stump)

No

Tree number.

**Species** 

Species of tree.

Height

Height measured in metres.

Height of crown

Height in metres of crown clearance above adjacent ground level.

Age Class (Age)

Young A tree considered to be less than approximately 20 years old.

Middle aged

A tree in approximately the first 1/5th of its normal life span with apical dominance (rapidly growing with a clear main leader) and not yet fully at its environmental potential full height.

Mature

A tree in its 2/5ths to 5/5ths of its normal life span with apical dominance lost and at its

environmental potential full height.

Veteran

A tree of interest biologically, aesthetically or culturally because of its age and is old relative to

others of the same species. See Natural England definition.

Condition (Physiological and Structural) Good

A tree of typical physiological and structural condition that requires only general tree works to

facilitate its retention in the landscape.

Fair

A tree of impaired physiological and / or structural condition that may require remedial and

general tree works to facilitate its retention in the landscape.

Poor

A tree of significantly impaired physiological and / or structural condition that will require remedial and general tree works to facilitate its retention in the landscape if feasible.

Recommendations

As per BS3998: 2010 Recommendations for Tree Works.

**Priority** 

Immediate Works should be carried out immediately as the probability of harm or damage occurring is

likely.

High

These works are important to carry out as soon as reasonably possible and any budget available for tree management should be spent upon these trees before the moderate and low categories. Works in this category usually will relate to abatement of risk for harm and or damage to occur. Ideally works in this category are anticipated to be carried out within 2 months.

Moderate

These works are important to carry out as soon as reasonably possible and any budget available for tree management should be spent upon these trees before the low categories. Works in this category usually will relate to abatement of risk for harm and or damage to occur and for the good arboricultural management of the trees. Ideally works in this category are anticipated to be carried out within 6 months.

Low

Works in this category usually will relate to the good arboricultural management of the trees. Ideally works in this category are anticipated to be carried out within 24 months.

Re-survey

This is the time period in which it is recommended that the tree is surveyed again. This is based upon the condition of the tree, its location, previous, current and future management. It is normally expressed at a time period from the date of the report / survey, whichever is the sooner. If no time period is noted, then the default period is one year.

## Site plan





© 2018

		0	61	61		
F FORECAST FOR 2018/19	Clirs / staff to attend courses?	Includes monthly drop box				
2018-2019 PLANNING - EXPLANATION OF FORECAST FOR 2018/19	Training	NH Plan				

PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2019/20 - PLANNIN	NNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE			
		2010/20		10,000
		07/6707		2020/21
	HALC courses usually cost £40 each £640 in more factoring			
Training	this. Increase slightly due to elections	240		,
		2		T40
Projects				
NH Plan	On-going costs of webhosting and drop hox etc	7		
Review Odiham CA Appraisal	Dated 2008 recommended review every 5 years	T20		T20
Review NH Plan	5 Vear review. On hisiness plan to carry out in 2010			
Review NW CA Appraisal	On business plan to carry out in 2019			
	TOTAL	390		000
		8	T	730
			T	
THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I				

		FORECA		BUDGET		14 I M/MICONITA	201	8/19							
Fixed costs	2022/23	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	Reserves	Actual 7 months £	Forecast 5 months £	Projected to y/e £	Budget £	2017/18 £	2016/17 £	2015/16 £	2014/15 £	2013/14 £	2012/13 £
Training and Membership Communication/publications			140	240	640	40		40	140		140	70 1,138	245	30	120
Total fixed costs			140	240	640	40		40	140	_	140	1,208	245	30	173 293
Projects Hatchwood Farm VDS Planning Projects						Ţ		2						-	= 1.5
Neighbourhood Plan Review Odiham CA Appraisa NH Plan review - June 2019	1		150	150		75	61	136	150	188	4,051	14,045	11,970	=	145
Review NW CA Appraisal Total project costs			150	150		75	61	136	150	188	4,051	14,045	11,970		145
Total Budget			290	390	640	115	61	176	290	188	4,191	15,253	12,215	30	438